STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA			File No.	cvs	753
Mecklenburg County	A THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO	The state of the s	In The 0 ☐ District		urt Of Justice or Court Division
Name And Address Of Plaintiff 1 Stephanie C. Wood C/o: The Olive Law Firm, P.A. P.O. Box 31515 Charlotte Name And Address Of Plaintiff 2		5 : P 1: 16 RG CO., C.S. Cc IV ⊠INI	/IL ACTION	ERAL COVER S	
2 of 400	DECEMBER OF THE PROPERTY.				Superior and District Courts
VERSUS		Name And Address Of (complete for initial app	Attomey Or Party, If I bearance or change o	Not Represented of address)	
Name And Address Of Defendant 1 Aaron Kent, III 1990 Resting Creek Drive Decatur GA	30035	Lee Olive, Esq. The Olive Law F. P.O. Box 31515 Charlotte	irm	N	C 28231
Decatur GA Summons Submitted	30033	Telephone No.	. 0000	Cellular Telepho	one No.
⊠ Yes □ No		704-377 NC Attorney Bar No. 16979	Attomey Email Add		1
Name And Address Of Defendant 2 Western Express, Inc.					
C/o Agent for Service: Roland M. Lowell		XI Initial A	ppearance in Ca	se ∐Char	nge of Address
7135 Centennial Place		Name Of Firm			Fax No.
Nashville TN	37209	The Olive Law Fi	rm		704-377-0259
Summons Submitted X Yes No		Counsel For All Plaintiffs	All Defendant	ts 🗌 Only:	(list party(ies) represented)
☐ Jury Demanded In Pleac		plex Litigation	Stipulate to A	rbitration	
2013年第二年後基本公司第二十五十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二	TYPE OF	PLEADING	新新来	() 李文学 ()	
(check all that apply) Amend (AMND) Amended Answer/Reply (AMND-Response) Amended Complaint (AMND) Assess Costs (COST) Answer/Reply (ANSW-Response) (see Note) Change Venue (CHVN) Complaint (COMP) Confession Of Judgment (CNFJ) Consent Order (CONS) Consolidate (CNSL) Contempt (CNTP) Continue (CNTN) Compel (CMPL) Counterclaim (CTCL) Assess Court Costs Crossclaim (list on back) (CRSS) Assess Court Costs Dismiss (DISM) Assess Court Costs Exempt/Waive Mediation (EXMD) Extend Statute Of Limitations, Rule 9 (ESOL) Extend Time For Complaint (EXCO) Failure To Join Necessary Party (FJNP)		Improper Venue. Including Attorne Intervene (INTR) Interplead (OTH) Lack Of Jurisdic Lack Of Jurisdic Modification Of (Interplead Interplead In	Of Wage Withholdi /Division (IMVN) ey's Fees (ATTY)) R) tion (Person) (LJPI tion (Subject Matte Child Support In IV essal With Or Withol As Indigent (OTHR In Lieu Of Answer (C) R) HOW) colaint (list Third Part udgment (VCMD) unsel (WDCN)	N) r) (LJSM) -D Actions (MS ut Prejudice (V) (MDLA)	SUP) OLD)

NOTE: All fillings in civil actions shall include as the first page of the filling a cover sheet summarizing the critical elements of the filling in a format prescribed by the Administrative Office of the Courts, and the Clerk of Superior Court shall require a party to refile a filling which does not include the required cover sheet. For subsequent fillings in civil actions, the filling party must include either a General Civil (AOC-CV-751), Motion (AOC-CV-752), or Court Action (AOC-CV-753) cover sheet.

		CLAIMS F	OR RELIEF	AND RESIDENCE	
A A A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	dministrative Appeal (ADMA) ppointment Of Receiver (APRC) ttachment/Garnishment (ATTC) laim And Delivery (CLMD) ollection On Account (ACCT) ondemnation (CNDM) ontract (CNTR) iscovery Scheduling Order (DSCH) ijunction (INJU)) e (MDML) //STL) (O) Vehicle (MVNG)	☐ Product Liability (PROD) ☐ Real Property (RLPR) ☐ Specific Performance (SP) ☐ Other (specify and list each s	
Asse Subs	S IN G.S. 7A-308 APPLY ort Right Of Access (ARAS) stitution Of Trustee (Judicial Foreclosure) olemental Procedures (SUPR)	(RSOT)			
PRO Motio	HAC VICE FEES APPLY on For Out-Of-State Attorney To Appear I	n NC Courts In A Civil Or	Criminal Matter (Out-Of	-State Attorney/Pro Hac Vice Fe	e)
No.	Additional Plaintiff(s)				
	Smile II & The Control of the Contro				
No.	Additional Defendant(s)	☐ Third Party Defer	ndant(s)	34	Summons Submitted
					Yes No
					Yes No
					Yes No
					Yes No
					Yes No
Plaintif	f(s) Against Whom Counterclaim Asserted				2
Defend	lant(s) Against Whom Crossclaim Asserted				

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No. 21 CVS 7253.			
Mecklenburg County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District ☑ Superior Court Division			
Name Of Plaintiff Stephanie C. Wood Address Class The Olive Levy Firm PALP O. Poy 21515	CIVIL SUMMONS			
C/o: The Olive Law Firm, PA; P.O. Box 31515	☐ ALIAS AND PLURIES SUMMONS (ASSESS FEE)			
Charlotte NC 28231				
VERSUS	G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3 and 4			
Name Of Defendant(s)	Date Original Summons Issued			
Aaron Kent, III				
Western Express, Inc.	Date(s) Subsequent Summons(es) Issued			
To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:				
Name And Address Of Defendant 1	Name And Address Of Defendant 2			
A 77 (TIV	Western Express, Inc.			
Aaron Kent, III 1990 Resting Creek Drive	C/o Agent for Service: Roland M. Lowell 7135 Centennial Place			
Decatur GA 30035	Nashville TN 37209			
¡NO TIRE estos papeles! Tiene que contestar a más tardar en 30 días. ¡ acerca de su caso y, de ser necesario, hablar documentos! A Civil Action Has Been Commenced Against You! You are notified to appear and answer the complaint of the plaintiff a	laintiff or plaintiff's attorney within thirty (30) days after you have been plaintiff or by mailing it to the plaintiff's last known address, and Court of the county named above.			
Name And Address Of Plaintiff's Attorney (if none, Address Of Plaintiff)	Date Issued () Time ()			
Lee Olive, Esq.	5.0.7(AM PM			
The Olive Law Firm, PA	Signature			
P.O. Box 31515	The state of the s			
Charlotte NC 28231	Deputy OSC Assistant CSC Clerk Of Superior Court			
☐ ENDORSEMENT (ASSESS FEE)	Date Of Endorsement Time			
This Summons was originally issued on the date indicated above and returned not served. At the request of the plaintiff, the time within which this Summons must be served is extended sixty (60) days.	Signature Deputy CSC Assistant CSC Clerk Of Superior Court			
NOTE TO PARTIES: Many counties have MANDATORY ARBITRATION programs in which most cases where the amount in controversy is \$25,000 or less are heard by an arbitrator before a trial. The parties will be notified if this case is assigned for mandatory arbitration, and, if so, what procedure is to be followed.				
(0	ver)			

AOC-CV-100, Rev. 4/18
© 2018 Administrative Office of the Courts
Case 3:21-cv-00266-FDW-DSC Document 1-1 Filed 06/07/21 Page 3 of 12

		RETURN C	F SERVICE	
I certify that this Summons and a copy of the complaint were received and served as follows:				
		DEFEN	DANT 1	
Date Served	Time Served	AM PM	Name Of Defendant	
 By delivering to the defendant named above a copy of the summons and complaint. By leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the defendant named above with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. As the defendant is a corporation, service was effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the person named below. 				
Name And Address Of Person With Whom Copies Left (if corporation, give title of person copies left with) Other manner of service (specify)				
Defendant WAS NOT serve	ed for the following	reason:		
		DEFEN	DANT 2	
Date Served	Time Served	AM PM	Name Of Defendant	
By delivering to the defendant named above a copy of the summons and complaint. By leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the defendant named above with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. As the defendant is a corporation, service was effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the person named below. Name And Address Of Person With Whom Copies Left (if corporation, give title of person copies left with)				
Other manner of service (specify)				
☐ Defendant WAS NOT served for the following reason:				
Service Fee Paid \$			Signature Of Deputy Sh	eriff Məking Return
Date Received			Name Of Sheriff (type of	r print)
Date Of Return County Of Sheriff				

STATE OF NORTH CARO	LINA FILED		HE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION	
MECKLENBURG COUNT	Y 2021 MAY -6 P		21 CVS 7253	
STEPHANIE C. WOOD,	MECKLENBURG CO	c.s.c.		
Plai	nfiff,	1	PLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND	
v. WESTERN EXPRESS, INC., and AARON KENT III		AND WITH PLAINTIFF'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES, FIRST REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS ATTACHED		
Defe	endants			

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND JURISDICTION

The plaintiff, complaining of the defendants, alleges and says:

- Plaintiff Stephanie C. Wood is a citizen and resident of Mecklenburg County, North
 Carolina.
- 2. Defendant Western Express, Inc., hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Defendant Western Express", is a corporation authorized and existing under the laws of the State of Tennessee, with its principal address located at 7135 Centennial Place, Nashville, TN 37209.
- Defendant Aaron Kent III, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Defendant Kent", is a citizen and resident of the State of Georgia, with his principal residence located at 1190 Resting Creek Drive, Decatur, Georgia, 30035.
- 4. On August 3, 2018, at approximately 5:20 p.m., Defendant Kent was operating a 2018 Great Dane tractor-trailer truck, VIN # 1GRAP0628JK132931, on I-85 S in Cleveland County, North Carolina.
 - 5. The subject truck was owned by Wells Fargo Equipment Finance, Inc.
 - 6. On or about the above-mentioned time and place, Defendant Kent was operating

the subject truck within the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Western Express.

- 7. On or about the above-mentioned time and place, Defendant Kent was operating the subject truck with the express and/or implied permission of the Defendant Western Express.
- 8. On or about the above-mentioned time and place, Defendant Kent was operating the subject truck in furtherance of the business interests of the Defendant Western Express.
- 9. On or about the above-mentioned time and place, Defendant Kent was an agent and/or joint venture partner with the Defendant Western Express.
- 10. On or about the above-mentioned time and place, Defendant Kent was operating the subject truck within the course and scope of his agency and/or joint venture partnership with Defendant Western Express.
- 11. On August 3, 2018, at approximately 5:20 p.m., Plaintiff was operating a 2018 Mercedes-Benz vehicle, VIN # WDDSJ4EB4JN517576, on I-85 S in Cleveland County, North Carolina.
- 12. One or two wheels from the subject truck operated by Defendant Kent detached from the subject truck and collided with the 2018 Mercedes-Benz vehicle being operated by Plaintiff, causing the subject incident.
- 13. The subject incident was the proximate result of the negligent conduct of the defendants as complained of herein.
- 14. As a proximate result of the incident that is the subject of this litigation, Plaintiff suffered serious, permanent, and catastrophic injuries, thus causing her to suffer physical pain and mental anguish.
 - 15. As a proximate result of the incident that is the subject of this litigation, Plaintiff

has become obligated for the payment of substantial sums of money for medical treatment, such to include future medical treatment.

- 16. As a proximate result of the incident that is the subject of this litigation, Plaintiff is and was unable to work, resulting in loss of earnings or earning capacity.
- 17. As a proximate result of the incident that is the subject of this litigation, Plaintiff has suffered additional damages to include permanency, loss of use of part of her body, and scarring.
- 18. Following the incident, Defendant Kent was cited and ultimately pled responsible for Failure To Secure Load To Prevent It From Falling, Blowing, Dropping, Sifting, Leaking, or Otherwise Escaping From The Trailer (N.C.G.S. § 20-116(G)).

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF- NEGLIGENT CONDUCT OF DEFENDANT KENT

- 19. Paragraphs 1 through 18 of this Complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. On August 3, 2018, at approximately 5:20 p.m., Defendant Kent owed a duty to those lawfully on the roadway, including but not limited to Plaintiff, to operate and maintain the truck in a reasonable and safe manner.
- 21. On August 3, 2018, at approximately 5:20 p.m, Defendant Kent operated and maintained the truck in a negligent manner, endangering the lives of those lawfully on the roadway, including but not limited to Plaintiff.
 - 22. Defendant Kent was negligent in that he:
 - a. Drove the subject truck carelessly and heedlessly in willful or wanton disregard of the rights and safety of others in violation of N.C.G.S. § 20-140;

- b. Drove the subject truck without due caution and circumspection and in a manner so as to endanger or be likely to endanger person or property in violation of N.C.G.S. § 20-140;
- c. Drove carelessly and heedlessly in willful or wanton disregard of the rights or safety of others in violation of N.C.G.S. § 20-141.6;
- d. Negligently failed to maintain the subject truck under proper control;
- e. Negligently failed to operate the subject truck in accordance with North Carolina laws, ordinances, and regulations, in violation of 49 C.F.R. § 392.2;
- f. Negligently failed to operate the subject truck in accordance with North Carolina laws, ordinances, and regulations, in violation of 49 C.F.R. § 392.7
- g. Negligently failed to operate the subject truck in accordance with North Carolina laws, ordinances, and regulations, in violation of 49 C.F.R. § 392.9;
- h. Negligently operated the subject truck in violation of 49 C.F.R. Part 395;
- i. Negligently operated the subject truck in violation of 49 C.F.R. §§ 325-399;
- Negligently failed to maintain the subject truck, including the wheels of the truck,
 in a safe condition;
- k. Negligently failed to properly install the wheels of the subject truck;
- Negligently failed to secure the load of the subject truck to prevent it from falling, blowing, dropping, sifting, leaking, or otherwise escaping from the trailer in violation of G.S. 20-116(G); and
- m. Was negligent in such other ways as will be determined through discovery and proven at trial.

- 23. The above-described acts and omissions of Defendant Kent are imputed by law to Defendant Western Express.
- 24. As a proximate result of the negligent conduct of Defendant Kent, jointly and severally with all other Defendants, Plaintiff suffered serious, permanent, and catastrophic injuries.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of Defendant Kent, jointly and severally with all other Defendants, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant Kent, jointly and severally with all other Defendants, an amount to be determined, but in any event greater than \$25,000.00.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF – VICARIOUS LIABILITY OF DEFENDANT WESTERN EXPRESS.

- 26. Paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint are reincorporated herein by reference.
- 27. At all relevant times, Defendant Kent was an employee of the Defendant Western Express.
- 28. At all relevant times, Defendant Kent was operating the subject motor vehicle within the course and scope of his employment with Defendant Western Express.
 - 29. At all relevant times, Defendant Kent was an agent of Defendant Western Express.
- 30. At all relevant times, Defendant Kent was acting in furtherance of the interests of the Defendant Western Express.
- 31. At all relevant times, Defendant Kent was operating the subject truck with the express and/or implied permission of the Defendant Western Express., and in furtherance of the business interests of the Defendant Western Express.
 - 32. Defendant Western Express is therefore vicariously liable for the negligent conduct

of Defendant Kent complained of herein.

33. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant Western Express, jointly and severally with all other Defendants, an amount to be determined by a jury, but in any event exceeding \$25,000.00.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF- INDEPENDENT NEGLIGENT CONDUCT BY DEFENDANT WESTERN EXPRESS, INC.

- 34. Paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint are reincorporated herein by reference.
- 35. Defendant Western Express, owed a duty to others lawfully on the roadway, including but not limited to Plaintiff, to reasonably hire, retain, train, supervise, and terminate its employees, drivers, and/or agents, including but not limited to Defendant Kent.
- 36. Defendant Western Express owed a duty to others lawfully on the roadway, including but not limited to Plaintiff to implement policies, procedures, guidelines, and standards necessary to reasonably protect such persons from harm caused by its operations and those operating trucks on its behalf.
- 37. Defendant Western Express was further independently negligent in that Defendant Western Express:
 - a. Negligently hired Defendant Kent;
 - b. Negligently entrusted the subject commercial motor vehicle to Defendant Kent;
 - c. Negligently failed to implement necessary guidelines, procedures, and standards in the hiring of truck drivers to ensure that individuals who would pose an unreasonable risk to those lawfully on the roads of the State of North Carolina would not operate motor vehicles on its behalf;
 - d. Negligently failed to reasonably train Defendant Kent;

- e. Negligently failed to reasonably supervise Defendant Kent;
- f. Negligently failed to implement necessary guidelines, procedures, and standards in the hiring, retaining, training, and supervision of truck drivers to ensure that its employees, agents, joint venture partners, and those operating commercial trucks on its behalf, including but not limited to Defendant Kent, would drive in a lawful and reasonably safe manner;
- g. Negligently aided Defendant Kent's violations of 49 C.F.R. § 325-399, complained of above;
- Negligently abetted Defendant Kent's violations of 49 C.F.R. § 325-399,
 complained of above;
- Negligently encouraged Defendant Kents's violations of 49 C.F.R. § 325-399,
 complained of above;
- j. Negligently required Defendant Kent's violations of 49 C.F.R. § 325-399,
 complained of above;
- k. Negligently coerced Defendant Kent's violations of 49 C.F.R. § 325-399,
 complained of above;
- 1. Negligently retained Defendant Kent; and
- m. Was negligent in such other manner as will be determined through discovery and proven at trial.
- 38. As a proximate result of the negligent conduct of Defendant Western Express, jointly and severally with all other Defendants, Plaintiff suffered serious, permanent, and catastrophic injuries.

39. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent conduct of Defendant Western Express, jointly and severally with all other Defendants, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant Western Express, jointly and severally with all other Defendants an amount in excess of \$25,000.00.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- 1. She have and recover against the Defendants, jointly and severally, actual damages, including damages for medical expenses, lost wages, pain and suffering, permanency, scarring and loss of use of part of her body, in an amount to be determined, but in any event an amount in excess of \$25,000.00, pursuant to Rule 8(a) of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 2. She have and recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, interest as provided by law;
- 3. She have and recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, costs as provided by law;
- 4. That all issues of fact be tried by a jury; and
- 5. She have and recover any other relief which this Court determines to be equitable and proper.

This the 6 day of May, 2021.

Lee Olive

NC State Bar # 16979

P.O. Box 31515

Charlotte, NC 28231

Phone: 704-377-9222 Fax: 704-377-9222 Attorney for Plaintiff